

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/06: CIA-RDP87M01152R001101350018-7

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/06 : CIA-RDP87M01152R001101350018-7



## EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20503

SPECIAL

September 23, 1985

## LEGISLATIVE REFERRAL MEMORANDUM

T0:

Legislative Liaison Officer-

Department of Justice National Security Council Department of Defense

Central Intelligence Agency Office of Personnel Management

Department of Energy Department of State

SUBJECT:

GSA draft report on H.R. 2994, "To amend the National Security Act of 1947 to establish by law a system for the security classification and declassification of sensitive information relating to the national security.

The Office of Management and Budget requests the views of your agency on the above subject before advising on its relationship to the program of the President, in accordance with OMB Circular A-19.

A response to this request for your views is needed no later than October 21, 1985

Questions should be referred to SueThau/TraceyLawler (395-7300), the legislative analyst in this office.

RONALD K. PETERSON FOR Assistant Director for Legislative Reference

Enclosures

cc: Jill Kent
Arnie Donahue
Mike Horowitz
Bob Howard

SPECIAL

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/06: CIA-RDP87M01152R001101350018-7

Services Oversight Administration Office

Washington, DC 20405

Honorable Les Aspin Chairman Committee on Armed Services House of Representatives Washington, DC 20515

Dear Mr. Chairman:

The Information Security Oversight Office (ISOO) wishes to submit its views on H.R. 2994, "To amend the National Security Act of 1947 to establish by law a system for the security classification and declassification of sensitive information relating to the national security, and for other purposes." ISOO is an administrative component of the General Services Administration, but receives its policy direction from the National Security Council.

ISOO opposes the enactment of H.R. 2994 for several reasons. First, we believe that it is unnecessary. The current system established by Executive Order 12356 for the classification, declassification, and safeguarding of national security information is working well. Given this fact, there is no reason at this time to legislate substitute provisions. Second, the system proposed in H.R. 2994 is not as complete as the one prescribed in E.O. 12356. The bill leaves out critical elements that may or may not be covered by subsequent regulations. Among them is the absence of any provision mandating oversight of the program by an impartial organization or by the agencies themselves. Another is the proposal's failure to deal with the essential area of security education and training.

ISOO also opposes enactment of H.R. 2994, because administration of the security classification system requires flexibility and the means to act quickly. Recent events support the need for the executive branch to have sufficient maneuverability to deal with fast-breaking security-related matters. Such flexibility would not be guaranteed by incorporating the classification system into a statute.

There is a further problem with regard to Titles V and VI. Although ISOO defers to the views of the Department of Justice on legal matters, it is our view that these sections of H.R. 2994 pose serious constitutional issues. Specifically, we believe that these titles infringe directly on the President's Article II powers as architect of United States foreign policy and as Commander in Chief. To date, it has been the President's prerogative to establish the parameters of the system regulating the classification, declassification, and safeguarding of sensitive national defense and foreign affairs information. The President's responsibilities in this area have been recognized statutorily by Congress in such legislation as the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(1)).

Enclosure

2

Title VII would establish penalties for the unauthorized disclosure of classified information by Federal employees. While ISOO agrees generally with the goals of this provision, it believes enactment of legislation in this area at this time is premature, pending the results of an ongoing review of the issue within the executive branch.

The Office of Management and Budget has advised that from the standpoint of the administration's program, there is no objection to the submission of this report to your committee.

Sincerely,

STEVEN GARFINKEL Director